## CMB Polarization Results from the QUIET Experiment

Fermilab CPA Seminar February 6, 2012

Immanuel Buder (ibuder@uchicago.edu) Department of Physics, U. of Chicago

## **QUIET Group at Chicago**

- PI: B. Winstein
- Postdoc: A. Kusaka



Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



- Grad Students: A. Brizius, I. Buder
- C. Bischoff, M. Becker, Y. Chinone, E. Curry, M. Hedman, K. Huff, D. Kapner, S. Li, M. Malin, D. Moore, A. Robinson, D. Samtleben, K. Smith, A. Sugarbaker, O. Tajima, K. Vanderlinde, R. Williamson



# Is there observer bias in Cosmology?



# **QUIET** will make the systematic error small to measure inflationary B modes

- Current published systematic errors are too large to distinguish the signal from inflation in the CMB polarization
- The QUIET instrument and analysis was designed with low systematic error in mind
- QUIET shows how to reduce systematic errors to the level where a B-mode detection is likely (*r* ~ 0.01)

## **Outline of this Talk**

- Introduction
  - Inflationary Cosmology

-Why measure CMB Polarization

- QUIET Experiment Overview
- 43-GHz (Q-band) Analysis and Results
- Future Prospects
  - -95-GHz (W-band) Analysis
  - Improved Detectors

#### CMB gives us information about the early Universe Big Bang End of Inflation

- CMB is created when hydrogen atoms form ("recombination") and the Universe becomes transparent to photons
- Inflation is postulated to explain the initial conditions and several observed puzzles



#### **Inflation Explains Puzzles**

- Exponential expansion at very early times (high energy)
- An answer to:
  - How were different parts of the observable Universe in causal contact at last scattering?
  - Why is the Universe so flat?
  - What seeded density fluctuations?
- Predicts gravity waves (tensor modes) in the early Universe
  - Causes an observable signal (B mode) in the CMB polarization



#### **CMB Polarization may contain evidence for inflation** Thomson scattering partially polarizes the CMB anisotropy

- Scalar perturbation creates only E modes (even parity)
- Inflationary gravity waves can create B modes (odd parity)
  - "Smoking gun" signal of inflation
- Amplitude of B modes, *r*, is proportional to the energy scale of inflation  $E \sim r^{1/4} \ 10^{16} \ \text{GeV}$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \ \text{GUT scale}$ )



#### We Need Better Data



•  $r \ge 0.01$  in the most natural models (Boyle et al. 2006)

# QUIET Experiment

One of many B-mode polarimeters (e.g. BICEP2, Keck, ACTPol, CLASS, POLAR, QUBIC, ABS, EBEX, SPIDER, SPT-POL, PIPER, PolarBear, ...), but QUIET is unique... QUIET is Coherent (HEMT-based) - Different (perhaps better) systematics than bolometers • 43 and 95 GHz • Ground-based Designed to minimize spurious polarization



**QUIET has completed 2** observing seasons 2008—2009 Q-band observing 2009—2010 W-band observing 2010 December Q-band result released

- Now analyzing W-band data
- Early 2012 W-band result released
- Continuing to work on improved detector R&D

Frequencies Avoid
Astrophysical Contamination
W band is near the expected foreground minimum of synchrotron+dust

- Use Q band to clean synchrotron
- Combine with ABS & PolarBear (higher frequency) data to clean dust



#### **Design for low systematic error**



3-axis Mount (azimuth, elevation, boresight): boresight rotation (about the optical axis) suppresses the effect of instrumental polarization

#### **Optimized Observing Strategy for Atacama**



## Chile is one of the best sites

- Chajnantor Plateau, Atacama, Chile
  - 5 km elevation
  - Very low moisture
  - Year-round observing, day and night
- Sky rotation causes the patches to rise and set
  - Sky rotation modulates polarization each day
  - Follow with constant elevation azimuth scans





**Elevation Limit for Observing** 

~ 5 "CES" per patch per day

#### We used innovative optics

- Crossed Mizuguchi--Dragone 1.4-m telescope
  - Compact, low cross polarization, large FOV
  - First use for CMB polarization
- Feed horn platelet array (low cost)
- Stepped-thickness septum polarizer (~1% temperature to polarization leakage in Q)



# New detectors improve sensor density



#### 3-cm module (W-band)

Miniaturized pseudocorrelation polarimeter on a chip, making large arrays (19 & 90) feasible



cf. CAPMAP polarimeter, ~30-cm





#### **Demodulation Reduces** 1/f 50-Hz timestream



#### **Demodulation Reduces** 1/f 50-Hz timestream



# Q-band Analysis and Results

arxiv:1012.3191 ApJ 741, 111

## **Robust Check for Systematics**

- Two independent and complementary pipelines
  - Pipeline A: Pseudo-Cl / MASTER
  - Pipeline B: Maximum likelihood
- Blind analysis
  - Calibration, data selection, filtering choices made without knowledge of result
  - Removes experimenter bias
- Extensive null suite and consistency checks
- Detailed systematic error estimates

 Much lower than statistical error to show potential of the technology



Examine result only after validation tests pass and systematic error is understood and acceptable

#### We have redundant calibration

• Responsivity

- Absolute reference Tau A (6% uncertainty)
- Stability, relative reference from Moon, sky dip
- Beam shape: Tau A, (Jupiter)
  - Model as Gauss-Hermite profile





#### We have redundant calibration

- Detector polarization axis
  - Moon radial polarization
  - Systematic check with Tau A (~2 deg. systematic uncertainty)
- Additional checks with artificial sources
  - Rotating sparse wire grid (made at FNAL!)
  - Polarized broadband noise source



#### **Filter Contaminated Modes**

Highpass filter cutoff near scan frequency

- Pipeline A: in azimuth domain by slope subtraction
- Sufficient for both 1/f noise and atmosphere
- Subtract ground structure



#### **Reject Contaminated Data**

- Driven by success of null suite
- Model noise power spectra of each ~hour of data
  - Cut if agreement with model is poor
- Targeted cuts: sidelobe pickup, bad weather (11%)
- Cut if outlier > 6  $\sigma$
- Simulate cuts to confirm unbiased result



Good weather



Extremely bad weather

## **Proved data selection does not cause bias** • Simulate 144 realizations of experiment TOD

- Apply data selection to each realization
- Compute power spectrum of each realization and show • the data selection does not change it



#### **Map Cross Correlation**

Eliminates noise bias and suppresses contamination

• (unique to Pipeline A) Map from each telescope pointing

**Cross-correlate all combinations** 

Same sky but different noise/contamination

#### **Innovative Null Suite Evaluation**

- Check consistency between two halves of data
- 42 null tests include
  - Q vs. U detectors
  - Spurious polarization
  - Array orientation
- Statistical evaluation
- ~1000 reference MC
  - Correlations and non-Gaussian error taken into account



Q-sensitive vs. U-sensitive diodes



#### **Understand Null Distribution**

- Mean of  $\chi$  is sensitive to overall contamination while  $\chi^2$ is sensitive to outliers  $\chi_{null} \equiv \frac{C_{\ell}^{null}}{z}$
- Without cross correlation there was a statistically significant X bias but  $\chi^2$  did not show contamination
- With cross correlation the bias in X distribution is consistent with 0 to the uncertainty of ~2% of statistical error
- Important for future experiments to check the distribution detail



#### Consistency tests show the result is robust Consistency among different cuts

- Many analysis configuration iterations are examined before seeing the result
- Consistency check among iterations
  - Non-statistical change implies residual contamination
- Consistency check among patches

$$\chi_p^2 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{b=0}^8 \left(\frac{C_{ib} - \mu_b}{\sigma_{ib}}\right)^2$$



**Final error** 



## **Q-band Results: Power Spectra**

#### **EE** power

BB power



- Two pipelines show consistent results
- Consistent with concordance cosmology (ΛCDM)
- No detection of B modes (detection not expected at our sensitivity)

# Upper Limit for Inflation $r = 0.35^{+1.06}_{-0.87}$ r < 2.2 (95% C.L.)

 QUIET's B-mode limit lies between BICEP's and WMAP's

This result used
 < ½ the data</li>
 compared to
 BICEP

 We are still far from the limits placed by other probes so the systematics level is essential



#### **Smallest Systematic Errors**



 Instrumental polarization is dominant (could correct for it in analysis; W is intrinsically better)

Lowest systematic errors for B modes reported to date

#### **QUIET** will help understand foregrounds

- Likely to be the ultimate limit for B-mode measurements
- Patches will be common to Atacama experiments
- QUIET Q-band maps are a unique contribution



#### **Detected foreground in CMB-1**



• Foreground detected at 3  $\sigma$  in first bin of patch CMB-1

- Identified as Galactic synchrotron emission
- B-mode foreground not detected
  - WMAP K band extrapolates to  $r \sim 0.02$  at W band

# Future Prospects: W band

# W-band Array is the world's largest HEMT-based array polarimeter

# W-band Array integrated at <u>Chicago</u>

# W-band Array shipped!

1000

#### W-band Analysis is Underway

 QUIET has ~twice as much W-band data with similar sensitivity to Q-band



#### Null Test Going Well

Same stringent tests as Q band (plus some new ones)



#### **Forecast Improved Result**



#### **Smaller Systematic Errors** (compared to Q band)

- Target is *r* = 0.01
- Intrinsic leakage is ~0.2% (better septum polarizer/ module match)
- More uniform boresight/parallactic angle coverage
- Better polarization axis measurement
  - 0.2 deg systematic uncertainty for Tau A from IRAM reference measurement (Aumont et al. 2010)
  - Relative angle from artificial wire grid source

## Sensitivity is being improved

- Target noise temperature < 40 K
- 500-element array with sensitivity < 10  $\mu$ K S<sup>1/2</sup>
- B-mode measurement with uncertainty on *r* < 0.01 in 2 years of observation





**Summary and Conclusion** 

- It's an exciting time for B-mode experiments
- QUIET Experiment
  - Unique detector technology
  - First phase observing completed successfully
- Q-band Results (arxiv: 1012.3191)
  - Competitive B-mode limit
  - Improved analysis techniques (including use of blind analysis) to make the systematic error small enough for future B-mode detection
  - Unique contribution to foreground characterization
- W band: Improved detector in R&D and new result coming in a few months!

#### **QUIET Summary**

Frequencies	43 (Q Band) / 95 (W Band)	GHz
Angular resolutions	27 / 12 (FWHM)	arcmin at each freq
Field centers and sizes	181/-39, 78/-39,	Ra/Dec (Deg)
	12/-48, 341/-36 4x(15×15) ~ 1000	Size (Deg <sup>2</sup> )
Telescope type	crossed Mizuguchi- Dragone	
Polarization Modulations	Phaseswitch (4kHz&50Hz), Boresight, Sky rotation, Fast scan	
Detector type	HEMT	Bolometer, HEMT etc.
Location	Chajnantor(Atacama),Chile	
Instrument NEQ/U	69 / ~70	$\mu$ K s <sup>1/2</sup> , combined Q and U
Focal plane size	19 / 90	Number of modules
Observing time	3458 / ~7500	hours
Projected limit on <i>r</i>	0.5 (?)	No foreground assumed

#### **Extra Slides**

#### Scale of the Problem



**QUIET** Arrays Q band 19 elements @ 43 GHz 17 Polarimeters 2 temperature diff.

W band 90 elements @ 95 GHz **84** Polarimeters 6 temperature diff.

#### **Other Atacama Experiments**

Cerro Toco 5600 m ACT, ABS

Cerro Chajnantor 5612 m



APEX QUIET ALMA (5050 m) ASTE & NANTEN2 (4800 m) ex. CBI

## **Q-band Analysis: Galaxy**



 ~100 hours of data from one Galactic patch (G-1) in Q band

• Top: WMAP

• Bottom: QUIET

#### **TT Assembly**

 Replace Septum Polarizer with OMT+Magic Tee to measure temperature anisotropy



## **Module Optimization**

- Digital control of amplifier biasing (10-bit DAC)
- Maximize S/N with wire-grid polarization source
- 90 modules can be optimized in 24 hours



## **Module Optimization**



# 1/f Performance

- Measured every ~hour from data in the field
- Median knee frequency 5.5 mHz (Q band)
- Modulate at 45--100 mHz by azimuth scan



#### **Upper Groundscreen**

